

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

## 2013/067: Regarding the code compliance of stone cladding proposed for the recladding of a house

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 312KB\)](#)

{{ linkText }}

Abbreviation

2013/067

Valid from

08/11/2013

---

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

---

### Description

This determination arose because stone veneer cladding was originally proposed as part of an application for a building consent to remediate weathertightness defects to a monolithic-clad house.

The authority refused to accept the stone cladding without further information because it was not satisfied that it would comply with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was whether the stone veneer cladding system would have complied with Clause B1 Structure, Clause E2 External Moisture and Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code.

The stone cladding system included the components of the system (such as the stone tiles, the adhesive, the waterproofing membrane, the backing sheets and the cavity), as well as the way the components would have been installed and worked together.

The authority also questioned the stone veneer's compliance with Clause F2 Hazardous building materials. Compliance with Clause F2 relies on the stone veneer's compliance (or otherwise) with Clauses B1, B2 and E2. Clause F2 is therefore included within the matter outlined above.

Although the owners of the house changed the cladding, this determination used the characteristics of the house in order to assess the code-compliance of the stone cladding as it was originally proposed.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 312KB\)](#)

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

## **2013/067: Regarding the code compliance of stone cladding proposed for the recladding of a house**

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

## **2013/067: Regarding the code compliance of stone cladding proposed for the recladding of a house**

### **Description**

This determination arose because stone veneer cladding was originally proposed as part of an application for a building consent to remediate weathertightness defects to a monolithic-clad house.

The authority refused to accept the stone cladding without further information because it was not satisfied that it would comply with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was whether the stone veneer cladding system would have complied with Clause B1 Structure, Clause E2 External Moisture and Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code.

The stone cladding system included the components of the system (such as the stone tiles, the adhesive, the waterproofing membrane, the backing sheets and the cavity), as well as the way the components would have been installed and worked together.

The authority also questioned the stone veneer's compliance with Clause F2 Hazardous building materials. Compliance with Clause F2 relies on the stone veneer's compliance (or otherwise) with Clauses B1, B2 and E2. Clause F2 is therefore included within the matter outlined above.

Although the owners of the house changed the cladding, this determination used the characteristics of the house in order to assess the code-compliance of the stone cladding as it was originally proposed.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 312KB\)](#)

### [2013/067: Regarding the code compliance of stone cladding proposed for the recladding of a house](#)

#### Description

This determination arose because stone veneer cladding was originally proposed as part of an application for a building consent to remediate weathertightness defects to a monolithic-clad house.

The authority refused to accept the stone cladding without further information because it was not satisfied that it would comply with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was whether the stone veneer cladding system would have complied with Clause B1 Structure, Clause E2 External Moisture and Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code.

The stone cladding system included the components of the system (such as the stone tiles, the adhesive, the waterproofing membrane, the backing sheets and the cavity), as well as the way the components would have been installed and worked together.

The authority also questioned the stone veneer's compliance with Clause F2 Hazardous building materials. Compliance with Clause F2 relies on the stone veneer's compliance (or otherwise) with Clauses B1, B2 and E2. Clause F2 is therefore included within the matter outlined above.

Although the owners of the house changed the cladding, this determination used the characteristics of the house in order to assess the code-compliance of the stone cladding as it was originally proposed.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 312KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

## **2013/067: Regarding the code compliance of stone cladding proposed for the recladding of a house**

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

## Table of Contents

Print [Save](#) Email

[Feedback](#)


- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)


[Feedback](#)