

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print [Save](#) Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

## 2013/064: Regarding the refusal to issue a certificate of acceptance and the issue of a notice to fix for recladding to a 12 year-old house

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 153KB\)](#)

Abbreviation

2013/064

Valid from

14/10/2013

---

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

---

**Description**

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for the 12-year-old house.

The matter to be determined was therefore whether the authority correctly exercised its power of decision when it refused to issue the code compliance certificate.

The authority provided the owners with four reasons for its refusal. Considered has been each of those reasons as follows:

1. Whether the delay between the practical completion of the building work in 2001 and the application for a code compliance certificate in 2012 was grounds for the refusal to issue the

- code compliance certificate (items 1 and 3 of the authority's refusal);
2. Whether the external wall cladding to the house complied with Clause B1 Structure, B2 Durability, and Clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code (item 2 of the authority's refusal). The stone veneer includes the components of the system (such as the stones, the ties, the backing sheets, the cavity, the windows, the adjoining wall claddings and the flashings, as well as the way the components were installed and work together;
  3. And whether the shower and basin complied with Clause E3 Internal Moisture. The authority also expressed concerns about an inspection missed during installation of the stone veneer (item 4 of the authority's refusal). This was addressed as part of Matter 2.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 153KB\)](#)

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

## **2013/064: Regarding the refusal to issue a certificate of acceptance and the issue of a notice to fix for recladding to a 12 year-old house**

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

## **2013/064: Regarding the refusal to issue a certificate of acceptance and the issue of a notice to fix for recladding to a 12 year-old house**

### **Description**

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for the 12-year-old house.

The matter to be determined was therefore whether the authority correctly exercised its power of decision when it refused to issue the code compliance certificate.

The authority provided the owners with four reasons for its refusal. Considered has been each of those reasons as follows:

1. Whether the delay between the practical completion of the building work in 2001 and the application for a code compliance certificate in 2012 was grounds for the refusal to issue the code compliance certificate (items 1 and 3 of the authority's refusal);
2. Whether the external wall cladding to the house complied with Clause B1 Structure, B2 Durability, and Clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code (item 2 of the authority's refusal). The stone veneer includes the components of the system (such as the stones, the ties, the backing sheets, the cavity, the windows, the adjoining wall claddings and the flashings, as well as the way the components were installed and work together);
3. And whether the shower and basin complied with Clause E3 Internal Moisture. The authority also expressed concerns about an inspection missed during installation of the stone veneer (item 4 of the authority's refusal). This was addressed as part of Matter 2.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 153KB\)](#)

### [2013/064: Regarding the refusal to issue a certificate of acceptance and the issue of a notice to fix for recladding to a 12 year-old house](#)

#### Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for the 12-year-old house.

The matter to be determined was therefore whether the authority correctly exercised its power of decision when it refused to issue the code compliance certificate.

The authority provided the owners with four reasons for its refusal. Considered has been each of those reasons as follows:

1. Whether the delay between the practical completion of the building work in 2001 and the application for a code compliance certificate in 2012 was grounds for the refusal to issue the code compliance certificate (items 1 and 3 of the authority's refusal);
2. Whether the external wall cladding to the house complied with Clause B1 Structure, B2 Durability, and Clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code (item 2 of the authority's refusal). The stone veneer includes the components of the system (such as the stones, the ties, the backing sheets, the cavity, the windows, the adjoining wall claddings and the flashings, as well as the way the components were installed and work together);
3. And whether the shower and basin complied with Clause E3 Internal Moisture. The authority also expressed concerns about an inspection missed during installation of the stone veneer (item 4 of the authority's refusal). This was addressed as part of Matter 2.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 153KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

## **2013/064: Regarding the refusal to issue a certificate of acceptance and the issue of a notice to fix for recladding to a 12 year-old house**

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

### Table of Contents

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Feedback](#)

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

[Feedback](#)