

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

2013/026: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate and the issue of two notices to fix for a 10 year-old house with monolithic cladding

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 316KB\)](#)

{{ linkText }}

Abbreviation

2013/026

Valid from

16/05/2013

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate and to issue two notices to fix for a 10-year-old house because it was not satisfied that the building work complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The authority's concerns regarding compliance related to the weathertightness of the claddings. The matter to be determined was therefore whether the authority was correct in its decisions to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate and to issue the notices to fix for the house.

In deciding this, the following was considered:

1. Whether the external claddings complied with Clause B2 Durability and Clause E2 External

Moisture of the Building Code that was current at the time the consent was issued, along with any structural implications (Clause B1) associated with weathertightness.

2. Whether other items identified in the notices to fix complied with relevant clauses of the Building Code that was current at the time the consent was issued: namely Clauses E1 Surface Water and E3 Internal Moisture.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 316KB\)](#)

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

2013/026: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate and the issue of two notices to fix for a 10 year-old house with monolithic cladding

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

2013/026: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate and the issue of two notices to fix for a 10 year-old house with monolithic cladding

Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate and to issue two notices to fix for a 10-year-old house because it was not satisfied that the building work complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The authority's concerns regarding compliance related to the weathertightness of the claddings. The matter to be determined was therefore whether the authority was correct in its decisions to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate and to issue the notices to fix for the house.

In deciding this, the following was considered:

1. Whether the external claddings complied with Clause B2 Durability and Clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code that was current at the time the consent was issued, along with any structural implications (Clause B1) associated with weathertightness.
2. Whether other items identified in the notices to fix complied with relevant clauses of the Building Code that was current at the time the consent was issued: namely Clauses E1 Surface Water and E3 Internal Moisture.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 316KB\)](#)

[2013/026: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate and the issue of two notices to fix for a 10 year-old house with monolithic cladding](#)

Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate and to issue two notices to fix for a 10-year-old house because it was not satisfied that the building work complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The authority's concerns regarding compliance related to the weathertightness of the claddings. The matter to be determined was therefore whether the authority was correct in its decisions to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate and to issue the notices to fix for the house.

In deciding this, the following was considered:

1. Whether the external claddings complied with Clause B2 Durability and Clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code that was current at the time the consent was issued, along with any structural implications (Clause B1) associated with weathertightness.
2. Whether other items identified in the notices to fix complied with relevant clauses of the Building Code that was current at the time the consent was issued: namely Clauses E1 Surface Water and E3 Internal Moisture.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 316KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

2013/026: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate and the issue of two notices to fix for a 10 year-old house with monolithic cladding

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

Table of Contents

Print [Save](#) Email

[Feedback](#)

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

[Feedback](#)