

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print [Save](#) Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

2011/079: The compliance of proposed remedial work to a building

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 82KB\)](#)

Abbreviation

2011/079

Valid from

30/08/2011

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

Description

This second determination arose because, in response to the first determination:

- the authority issued an amended notice to fix relating to the weathertightness and durability of the exterior claddings and the architect submitted proposals to address the matters identified in the notice;
- the authority refused to accept that the proposed repairs would result in the repaired building complying with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was therefore whether the proposed modifications and repairs to the external envelope of the building would result in the claddings complying with Clause E2 External Moisture and Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 82KB\)](#) [{{ linkText }}](#)

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

2011/079: The compliance of proposed remedial work to a building

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

[Back](#)

2011/079: The compliance of proposed remedial work to a building

[Show what documents this resource is CITED BY](#)

[Show what documents this resource CITES](#)

Description

This second determination arose because, in response to the first determination:

- the authority issued an amended notice to fix relating to the weathertightness and durability of the exterior claddings and the architect submitted proposals to address the matters identified in the notice;
- the authority refused to accept that the proposed repairs would result in the repaired building complying with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was therefore whether the proposed modifications and repairs to the external envelope of the building would result in the claddings complying with Clause E2 External Moisture and Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 82KB\)](#)

[2011/079: The compliance of proposed remedial work to a building](#)

Description

This second determination arose because, in response to the first determination:

- the authority issued an amended notice to fix relating to the weathertightness and durability of the exterior claddings and the architect submitted proposals to address the matters identified in

- the notice;
- the authority refused to accept that the proposed repairs would result in the repaired building complying with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was therefore whether the proposed modifications and repairs to the external envelope of the building would result in the claddings complying with Clause E2 External Moisture and Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 82KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

2011/079: The compliance of proposed remedial work to a building

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back
Close

Table of Contents

Print [Save](#) Email

[Feedback](#)

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

[Feedback](#)