

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

2010/086: Determination regarding the refusal of a code compliance certificate for a 13 year-old house with monolithic cladding

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

Abbreviation

2010/086

Valid from

15/09/2010

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Author

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

Description

The matter for determination was whether the authority's decision to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for the house was correct.

The authority's decision arose because it was not satisfied that the house complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

It was considered:

1. Whether the external envelope for the house complied with Clause B2 Durability and Clause

- E2 External Moisture;
2. Whether various other items identified by the authority complied with the relevant clauses of the Building Code;
 3. Whether the building elements complied with Clause B2 Durability, taking into account the age of the building work.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

2010/086: Determination regarding the refusal of a code compliance certificate for a 13 year-old house with monolithic cladding

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

2010/086: Determination regarding the refusal of a code compliance certificate for a 13 year-old house with monolithic cladding

Description

The matter for determination was whether the authority's decision to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for the house was correct.

The authority's decision arose because it was not satisfied that the house complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

It was considered:

1. Whether the external envelope for the house complied with Clause B2 Durability and Clause E2 External Moisture;
2. Whether various other items identified by the authority complied with the relevant clauses of the Building Code;

3. Whether the building elements complied with Clause B2 Durability, taking into account the age of the building work.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

[2010/086: Determination regarding the refusal of a code compliance certificate for a 13 year-old house with monolithic cladding](#)

Description

The matter for determination was whether the authority's decision to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for the house was correct.

The authority's decision arose because it was not satisfied that the house complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

It was considered:

1. Whether the external envelope for the house complied with Clause B2 Durability and Clause E2 External Moisture;
2. Whether various other items identified by the authority complied with the relevant clauses of the Building Code;
3. Whether the building elements complied with Clause B2 Durability, taking into account the age of the building work.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

2010/086: Determination regarding the refusal of a code compliance certificate for a 13 year-old house with monolithic cladding

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

Table of Contents

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Feedback](#)

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

[Feedback](#)