

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

## 2010/052: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old house

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

{{ linkText }}

Abbreviation

2010/052

Valid from

17/06/2010

---

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Author

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

---

### Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6-year old house because it was not satisfied that it complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was whether the authority was correct to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate.

In deciding this, it was considered:

1. Whether the external envelope of the extensions, as installed on the building, complied with Building Code Clauses B2 Durability and E2 External Moisture;

- Whether the elements that make up the building work complied with Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code, taking into account the age of the building work.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

## 2010/052: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old house

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

## 2010/052: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old house

### Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6-year old house because it was not satisfied that it complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was whether the authority was correct to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate.

In deciding this, it was considered:

- Whether the external envelope of the extensions, as installed on the building, complied with Building Code Clauses B2 Durability and E2 External Moisture;
- Whether the elements that make up the building work complied with Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code, taking into account the age of the building work.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

[2010/052: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old house](#)

### Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6-year old house because it was not satisfied that it complied with certain clauses of the Building Code.

The matter to be determined was whether the authority was correct to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate.

In deciding this, it was considered:

1. Whether the external envelope of the extensions, as installed on the building, complied with Building Code Clauses B2 Durability and E2 External Moisture;
2. Whether the elements that make up the building work complied with Clause B2 Durability of the Building Code, taking into account the age of the building work.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

## 2010/052: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old house

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

### Table of Contents

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Feedback](#)

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

[Feedback](#)