

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

2010/037: Safety barrier to a carparking area

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

{{ linkText }}

Abbreviation

2010/037

Valid from

06/05/2010

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Author

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

Description

The dispute between the parties related to the decision of the authority not to issue a code compliance certificate because a barrier was not provided in respect of the reconstruction of a retaining wall and carparking area.

The reason given by the authority for not issuing the certificate was because there was a failure to comply with Clause F4 'Safety from falling' of the Building Code. The applicant considered that a barrier was not required as the building work was an alteration only and no barrier existed before.

The matter for determination was whether the decision of the authority not to issue the code compliance certificate was correct.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#) {{ linkText }}

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

2010/037: Safety barrier to a carparking area

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

[Back](#)

2010/037: Safety barrier to a carparking area

[Show what documents this resource is CITED BY](#)

[Show what documents this resource CITES](#)

Description

The dispute between the parties related to the decision of the authority not to issue a code compliance certificate because a barrier was not provided in respect of the reconstruction of a retaining wall and carparking area.

The reason given by the authority for not issuing the certificate was because there was a failure to comply with Clause F4 'Safety from falling' of the Building Code. The applicant considered that a barrier was not required as the building work was an alteration only and no barrier existed before.

The matter for determination was whether the decision of the authority not to issue the code compliance certificate was correct.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

[2010/037: Safety barrier to a carparking area](#)

Description

The dispute between the parties related to the decision of the authority not to issue a code compliance certificate because a barrier was not provided in respect of the reconstruction of a retaining wall and carparking area.

The reason given by the authority for not issuing the certificate was because there was a failure to comply with Clause F4 'Safety from falling' of the Building Code. The applicant considered that a barrier was not required as the building work was an alteration only and no barrier existed before.

The matter for determination was whether the decision of the authority not to issue the code compliance certificate was correct.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

2010/037: Safety barrier to a carparking area

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

Table of Contents

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Feedback](#)

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

[Feedback](#)