| Skip to main content Skip to primary navigation | | |
|---|----|----------------|
| Menu | | |
| Home Home | | |
| About this portal | | |
| • | | |
| Latest updates | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Print Save Email | | |
| Resource detail | | |
| <u>Citations</u> | | |
| | | |
| 0000/404. Defined to leave a code of | 1: | ! . ! - |

2009/101: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old block of six semi-detached townhouses

<u>View on Information Provider website Download this resource (PDF, 0KB)</u> {{ linkText }}

Abbreviation 2009/101 Valid from

20/11/2009

Information provider Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6-year-old building, because the building is part of a multi-unit development and it was not satisfied that the building work complied with certain clauses of the Building Code (First Schedule, Building Regulations 1992).

Based on the evidence available, the matters for determination to be considered were:

- 1. Whether the claddings as installed complied with Clauses B2 Durability and E2 External Moisture:
- 2. Whether certain building elements in the blocks, other than the claddings, complied with the other relevant clauses of the Building Code;
- 3. Whether the authority, in response to the applicants' request, could amend the building

consent for the development, which includes Block F, so that Block F has its own building consent. That would make it possible for the authority to issue a code compliance certificate in respect of the applicants' units.

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

<u>View on Information Provider website Download this resource (PDF, 0KB)</u> {{ linkText }}

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

2009/101: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old block of six semi-detached townhouses

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

Back

2009/101: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old block of six semi-detached townhouses

Show what documents this resource is CITED BY

Show what documents this resource CITES

Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6-year-old building, because the building is part of a multi-unit development and it was not satisfied that the building work complied with certain clauses of the Building Code (First Schedule, Building Regulations 1992).

Based on the evidence available, the matters for determination to be considered were:

- 1. Whether the claddings as installed complied with Clauses B2 Durability and E2 External Moisture:
- 2. Whether certain building elements in the blocks, other than the claddings, complied with the other relevant clauses of the Building Code;
- 3. Whether the authority, in response to the applicants' request, could amend the building consent for the development, which includes Block F, so that Block F has its own building consent. That would make it possible for the authority to issue a code compliance certificate in respect of the applicants' units.

View on Information Provider website Download this resource (PDF, 0KB)

Description

This determination arose from the decision of the authority to refuse to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6-year-old building, because the building is part of a multi-unit development and it was not satisfied that the building work complied with certain clauses of the Building Code (First Schedule, Building Regulations 1992).

Based on the evidence available, the matters for determination to be considered were:

- 1. Whether the claddings as installed complied with Clauses B2 Durability and E2 External Moisture;
- 2. Whether certain building elements in the blocks, other than the claddings, complied with the other relevant clauses of the Building Code;
- 3. Whether the authority, in response to the applicants' request, could amend the building consent for the development, which includes Block F, so that Block F has its own building consent. That would make it possible for the authority to issue a code compliance certificate in respect of the applicants' units.

View on Information Provider website Download this resource (PDF, 0KB)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

2009/101: Refusal to issue a code compliance certificate for a 6 year-old block of six semi-detached townhouses

| Back | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Table of Contents | | |
| Print Save Email | | |
| <u>Feedback</u> | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Contact us | | |
| Privacy policy | | |
| <u>Disclaimer</u> | | |
| Copyright | | |

<u>Feedback</u>