

Menu

- [Home Home](#)
- [About this portal](#)
- [Latest updates](#)

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Resource detail](#)

[Citations](#)

## 2006/086: Refusal of a code compliance certificate for a building with a monolithic cladding system

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 217KB\)](#)

{{ linkText }}

Abbreviation

2006/086

Valid from

11/09/2006

---

Information provider

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Information type

Determination

Format

PDF

---

### Description

The dispute for determination was whether the territorial authority's decision to decline to issue a code compliance certificate for a 3-year-old house because it was not satisfied that the monolithic cladding to the walls of the house complied with clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code was correct.

The question to be determined was whether the monolithic wall cladding as installed to some of the walls of the building complied with the Building Code (see sections 177 and 188 of the Act).

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 217KB\)](#)

{{ linkText }}

For assistance with locating previous versions, please contact the information provider.

This resource is not cited by any other resources.

## **2006/086: Refusal of a code compliance certificate for a building with a monolithic cladding system**

This document is not CITED BY any other resources:

Back

## **2006/086: Refusal of a code compliance certificate for a building with a monolithic cladding system**

Show what documents this resource is CITED BY

Show what documents this resource CITES

### **Description**

The dispute for determination was whether the territorial authority's decision to decline to issue a code compliance certificate for a 3-year-old house because it was not satisfied that the monolithic cladding to the walls of the house complied with clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code was correct.

The question to be determined was whether the monolithic wall cladding as installed to some of the walls of the building complied with the Building Code (see sections 177 and 188 of the Act).

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 217KB\)](#)

[2006/086: Refusal of a code compliance certificate for a building with a monolithic cladding system](#)

### **Description**

The dispute for determination was whether the territorial authority's decision to decline to issue a code compliance certificate for a 3-year-old house because it was not satisfied that the monolithic cladding to the walls of the house complied with clause E2 External Moisture of the Building Code was correct.

The question to be determined was whether the monolithic wall cladding as installed to some of the walls of the building complied with the Building Code (see sections 177 and 188 of the Act).

[View on Information Provider website](#) [Download this resource \(PDF, 217KB\)](#)

This resource does not cite any other resources.

# 2006/086: Refusal of a code compliance certificate for a building with a monolithic cladding system

This resource does not CITE any other resources.

Back

Close

## Table of Contents

Print

[Save](#)

Email

[Feedback](#)

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

- [Contact us](#)
- [Privacy policy](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Copyright](#)

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

[Feedback](#)